Ruthenium(II) Tricarboxyterpyridyl Complex with a Fluorine-substituted β -Diketonato Ligand for Highly Efficient Dye-sensitized Solar Cells

Ashraful Islam,[†] Firoz A. Chowdhury,^{††} Yasuo Chiba,[†] Ryoichi Komiya,[†] Nobuhiro Fuke,[†] Noriaki Ikeda,^{†††} and Liyuan Han^{*†}

[†]Ecological Technology Development Center, Sharp Corporation, 282-1 Hajikami, Katsuragi, Nara 639-2198

^{††}Research Institute of Innovative Technology for the Earth (RITE), 9-2 Kizugawa-dai, Kizu-Cho, Soraku-Gun, Kyoto 619-0292

^{†††}Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, 1-16 Machikaneyama, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043

(Received December 3, 2004; CL-041480)

We have developed a new β -diketonato Ru(II) complex, Ru(4,4',4"-tricarboxy-2,2':6',2"-terpyridine) [4,4,4-trifluoro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)butane-1,3-dionato](NCS)[(C₄H₉)₄N]₂ (1) which shows very efficient panchromatic sensitization of nanocrystalline TiO₂ solar cell over the whole visible range extending into the near IR region (ca. 950 nm). A solar energy conversion efficiency of 8.9% was attained under standard AM 1.5 irradiation (100 mW cm⁻²) with a short-circuit photocurrent density of 20.4 mA cm⁻², an open-circuit photovoltage of 0.65 V, and a fill factor of 0.67.

There has been an increasing interest in dye-sensitized nanocrystalline TiO₂ solar cells (DSCs) owing to their high efficiency and a possibility of low-cost production of such devices.^{1–3} The most efficient metal complex photosensitizers employed so far in DSCs are Ru(II) polypyridyl complexes because of their intense charge-transfer absorption in the whole visible range and the ease of tunability of redox properties by choosing an appropriate ligand in a controlled manner.⁴ Grätzel and co-workers reported thiocyanato-Ru(II) polypyridyl sensitizer Ru(tctpy)-(NCS)₃(TBA)₃ (**2**) (tctpy = 4,4',4''-tricarboxy-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine, TBA = tetra-*n*-butylammonium) which achieve over 10% power conversion efficiency.⁵ The monodentate thiocyanato ligands destabilized the ground state by electron donation to the metal t_{2g} orbital causing a red shift in the MLCT bands relative to [Ru(2,2':6',2''-terpyridine)₂]²⁺ complex.

Many groups have utilized nonchromophoric chelating ligands such as dithiocarbamates, dithiolates and ethylenediamine donor ligands to tune sensitizer absorption properties and efficiently sensitize TiO₂.^{6–8} Recently, Sugihara et al. reported an efficient Ru(II) 4,4'-dicarboxy-2,2'-bipyridine sensitizer containing one chelating β -diketonato ligand.⁹ In addition, β -diketonato Ru(II) sensitizer of Ru(tctpy)(tfac)(NCS) (tfac = 1,1,1trifluoropentane-2,4-dionato) showed efficient panchromatic sensitization of nanocrystalline TiO₂ solar cell.¹⁰ However, this complex did not show the expected improvement in the solar cell efficiency because of low open-circuit voltage. In this letter, we report a new fluorine substituted β -diketonato Ru(II) sensitizer, Ru(tctpy)(tffpbd)(NCS)(TBA)₂ (1) (tffpbd = 4,4,4-trifluoro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)butane-1,3-dione¹¹) that yields power conversion efficiency of 8.9% under standard AM 1.5 condition.

Complex 1 was synthesized by the same procedure to that of Ru(tctpy)(tfac)(NCS).¹² Complex 1 shows an intense and broad electronic absorption with two absorption peaks at 413 and 586 nm and a distinct shoulder at 680 nm covering entire visible region assigned to metal-to-ligand charge-transfer (MLCT) transitions (Figure 1a). The lowest energy MLCT band at 586 nm



Figure 1. (a) Absorption spectra of complex 1 (——) and 2 (----) and (b) corrected emission spectra of complex 1 in ethanol-methanol (4:1, v/v) at 298 K.

 $(\mathcal{E} = 7000 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ is blue-shifted compared to those of 2. Substitution of two thiocyanato ligands by fluorine-substituted tffpbd chelating ligand stabilizes the ground state by electron withdrawn from Ru causing a decrease in the energy of the $t_{2\sigma}$ metal orbital and thus blue-shift the lowest energy MLCT band. The enhanced red absorption of complex 1 renders it attractive candidates as a panchromatic charge-transfer sensitizer for DSCs. When excited within the charge-transfer absorption band of complex 1 in ethanol-methanol (4:1, v/v) at 298 K exhibits a broad emission band with a maximum at 920 nm as shown in Figure 1b, attributed to the luminescence from the lowest energy ³MLCT state. The excited-state lifetime of complex **1** was estimated to be 13 and 358 ns at 298 and 77 K, respectively. The cyclic voltammogram of complex 1 coated on TiO₂ electrode measured in 0.1 M LiClO₄ acetonitrile solution shows one quasi-reversible wave at +0.73 V vs SCE for the Ru^{3+/2+} couple. The 0–0 transition energy (E^{0-0}) of **1** was approximately 1.59 eV.¹³ Therefore, the excited-state reduction potential (E^*) of 1 was calculated to be -0.86 V vs SCE, which was 0.09 eV more positive than that of $2^{.8,13}$

A nanocrystalline TiO₂ photoelectrode (area: 0.25 cm^2 ; thickness: 20 µm) was prepared by screen printing on conducting glass as previously described.³ The dye was dissolved in a mixture of *tert*-butyl alcohol and acetonitrile (1:1) at a concentration of 2×10^{-4} M. Deoxycholic acid as a coadsorbent was added into the dye solution at a concentration of 20 mM to prevent aggregation of the dye molecules. The TiO₂ films were immersed into the dye solution and then kept at 25 °C for 20 h to adsorb the dye



Figure 2. ATR-FTIR spectra of complex 1 obtained using solid sample (a) and adsorbed on the TiO_2 film (b).

onto the TiO₂ surface. The ATR-FTIR spectra of the complex **1** measured as a solid and in the adsorbed form onto TiO₂ films are shown in Figure 2. The complex **1** that contains one carboxylic acid and two carboxylate groups shows bands at 1703 and 1601 cm⁻¹ due to v(-C=O) and $v(-COO^-$ asymmetric), respectively.¹⁴ After grafting onto the TiO₂ surface, the intense band at 1703 cm⁻¹ for the carboxylic acid mode is disappeared (Figure 2b). This indicates that the carboxylic acid is dissociated on the surface and implicated in the surface attachment of the dye.

Figure 3 shows a photocurrent–voltage curve for the maximum performance of a sandwich-type sealed solar cell based on complex 1 under standard AM 1.5 irradiation (100 mW cm⁻²), with an electrolyte of 0.6 M dimethylpropylimidazolium iodide (DMPII), 0.05 M I₂, 0.1 M LiI, and 0.05 M *tert*-butylpyr-idine (TBP) in acetonitrile. A short-circuit photocurrent density (J_{sc}) obtained was 20.4 mA cm⁻², the open-circuit photovoltage (V_{oc}) was 0.65 V, and the fill factor (*ff*) was 0.67 corresponding



Figure 3. Photocurrent–voltage characteristics of DSCs sensitized with the complex **1** at AM 1.5 illuminations. The redox electrolyte consisted of a solution of 0.6 M DMPII, 0.05 M I₂, 0.1 M LiI, 0.05 M TBP in acetonitrile. The inset shows IPCE spectrum of nanocrystalline TiO₂ film sensitized by complex **1**. The IPCE is plotted as a function of wavelength.

to an overall conversion efficiency (η) of 8.9%. The inset of Figure 3 shows the action spectrum of monochromatic incident photon to current conversion efficiency (IPCE) of such a cell (electrolyte: 0.6 M DMPII, 0.05 M I₂, and 0.1 M LiI in acetonitrile). Complex **1** achieved efficient sensitization of nanocrystalline TiO₂ over the whole visible range extending into the near IR region (ca. 950 nm) with a maximum IPCE value of 78% at around 550 nm. Taking into account the reflection and absorption losses by the conducting glass, the photon to current conversion efficiency in this range reaches about 90%. Long term stability and cell performance will be investigated in detail.



References and Notes

- 1 B. O'Regan and M. Grätzel, Nature, 353, 737 (1991).
- a) Md. K. Nazeeruddin, A. Kay, I. Rodicio, R. Humphry-Baker, E. Müller, P. Liska. N. Vlachopoulos, and M. Grätzel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **115**, 6382 (1993). b) M. Grätzel, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *A*, **164**, 3 (2004). c) M. Grätzel, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *C*, **4**, 145 (2003).
- 3 K. Hara, H. Sugihara, Y. Tachibana, A. Islam, M. Yanagida, K. Sayama, and H. Arakawa, *Langmuir*, 17, 5992 (2001).
- 4 A. Islam, H. Sugihara, and H. Arakawa, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 158, 131 (2003).
- 5 Md. K. Nazeeruddin, P. Péchy, T. Renouard, S. M. Zakeeruddin, R. Humphry-Baker, P. Comte, P. Liska, L. Cevey, E. Costa, V. Shklover, L. Spiccia, G. B. Deacon, C. A. Bignozzi, and M. Grätzel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **123**, 1613 (2001).
- 6 R. Argazzi, C. A. Bignozzi, G. M. Hasselmann, and G. J. Meyer, *Inorg. Chem.*, **37**, 4533 (1998).
- 7 A. Islam, H. Sugihara, K. Hara, L. P. Singh, R. Katoh, M. Yanagida, Y. Takahashi, S. Murata, and H. Arakawa, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *A*, **145**, 135 (2001).
- 8 T. Yamaguchi, M. Yanagida, R. Katoh, H. Sugihara, and H. Arakawa, *Chem. Lett.*, **33**, 986 (2004).
- 9 H. Sugihara, S. Sano, T. Yamaguchi, M. Yanagida, T. Sato, Y. Abe, Y. Nagao, and H. Arakawa, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 166, 81 (2004).
- 10 A. Islam, H. Sugihara, M. Yanagida, K. Hara, G. Fujihashi, Y. Tachibana, R. Katoh, S. Murata, and H. Arakawa, *New J. Chem.*, 26, 966 (2002).
- 11 J. C. Sloop, C. L. Bumgardner, and W. D. Loehle, J. Fluorine Chem., 118, 135 (2002).
- Ru(tctpy)(tffpbd)(SCN)(TBA)₂ (1) was prepared according to a literature method.^{5,10} Yield 35%. MS (ESIMS): *m/z*: 413.5 (M – 3H)³⁻, 620.7 (M – 2H)²⁻, 1242.5 (M – H)^{-.1}H NMR (270 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.16 (H, ss), 8.77 (H, d), 8.72 (H, d), 8.46 (H, m), 8.21 (H, d), 8.15 (H, d), 7.51 (H, m), 7.32 (H, m), 6.97 (H, t), 6.53 (0.5H, s), 6.49 (0.5H, s), 3.26 (16H, t) 1.64 (16H, m), 1.41 (16H, m), 1.02 (24H, t). Anal. Calcd for C₆₁H₈₉F₄N₆O₈RuS: C, 58.92; H, 7.21; N, 6.76%. Found: C, 57.32; H, 7.01; N, 6.70%.
- 13 E^{0-0} transition energy was determined from the 5% emission intensity of the emission spectrum at 298 K. E^* is calculated from E^* (Ru^{3+/2+}) = E (Ru^{3+/2+}) E⁰⁻⁰.
- 14 Md. K. Nazeeruddin, R. Humphry-Baker, P. Liska, and M. Graetzel, J. Phys. Chem. B, 107, 8981 (2003).